WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

	Unite	ed States of America v.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	Eduar	do Mercado-Carreno	Case Number: 16-9003MJ
In ac subn conc	ccordar nitted t lude th	nce with the Bail Reform Act, 18 Loo the Court. I have considered all hat the following facts are establishe	J.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g). I ed: (Check one or both, as applicable.)
	by cl deter	lear and convincing evidence the nation of the defendant is required pe	defendant is a danger to the community and ending trial in this case.
\boxtimes	by a defer	preponderance of the evidence the ndant is required pending trial in this	e defendant is a flight risk and detention of the scase.
	(1)	There is probable cause to believe an offense for which a maximum	NDINGS OF FACT e that the defendant has committed: term of imprisonment of ten years or more is seq., 951 et seq., or 46 U.S.C. App. § 1901 et
		an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924	4(c), 956(a), or 2332(b).
		an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2 which a maximum term of imprisor	2332b(g)(5)(B) (Federal crimes of terrorism) for nment of ten years or more is prescribed.
		an offense involving a minor vict specifically in 18 U.S.C. §	tim as set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3)(E),
		an offense for which a maximum prescribed pursuant to 18 U.S.C Trafficking).	term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is . §§ 1581-1584, 1589-1591 (Slavery and Sex
	(2)	that no condition or combination	he presumption established by Finding No. (1) on of conditions will reasonably assure the equired and the safety of the community or any
	(3)	There is clear and convincing evid	lence that the defendant has been convicted of:
		trial for a Federal, State or lo	I while the defendant was on release pending

a period of not more than five (5) years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of defendant from imprisonment for the offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1).
 Insert as applicable: Title 18, § 1201 (kidnapping), § 1591 (sex trafficking), § 2241 (aggravated sexual abuse), § 2242 (sexual abuse), § 2244(a)(1) (abusive sexual contact), § 2245 (offenses resulting in death), § 2251 (sexual exploitation of children), § 2251A (selling or buying of children), § 2252 et

	(4)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by Finding No. (3) that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of the community and any other person.				
	Alternative Findings					
\boxtimes	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.				
	(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.				
	(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice; or threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror.				
	PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check as applicable.)					
	(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:				
\boxtimes	(2) ⊠	I find by a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that: The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.				
	\boxtimes	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.				
	\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.				
		There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.				
		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.				
		The defendant has a history of substance abuse.				
		The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of				
	\boxtimes	The defendant has ties to a foreign country.				
	\boxtimes	The defendant has used aliases or multiple dates of birth or false identifying information.				
		The defendant was on probation, parole, or supervised release at the time of the alleged offense.				

Case 3:16-cr-08049-SRB Document 4 Filed 02/01/16 Page 3 of 3

Ш	(3)	The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:
\boxtimes	(4)	The weight of the evidence against the defendant is great.
	(5)	In addition:
\boxtimes	(6)	The defendant has submitted the issue of detention to the Court based upon the Pretrial Services Report.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings in the Pretrial Services Report which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Judge. Pursuant to Rule 59, FED.R.CRIM.P., Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the District Court. Failure to timely file objections may waive the right to review. See Rule 59, FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Judge to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

Dated this 1st day of February, 2016.

Honorable Eileen S. Willett United States Magistrate Judge